

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,258	07/11/2003	Mitsuhiko Kitagawa	81788.0252	3224
26021 7	7590 09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE			PHAM, LONG	
SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2814		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,258	KITAGAWA ET AL.	Oly
Examiner	Art Unit	
Long Pham	2814	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached office action. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 13. Other: _____.

Long Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2814

Application/Control Number: 10/618,258

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment after final or Advisory Action

Status of the amendment after final rejection

The response dated 08/08/05 has been entered.

Status of the pending claims after final rejection

See the final rejection of 06/07/05.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/08/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See below.

In response to the applicants' arguments in the first paragraph on page 9 of the response dated 08/08/05, it is submitted that the motivation for incorporating teaching of Hiyoshi into the teaching of AAPA is to provide voltage that can be controlled. See the rejection.

In response to the applicants' arguments in the second paragraph on page 9 of the response dated 08/08/05, it is submitted that Hiyoshi at col. 35, lines 58-65 teaches generating voltage from received light from a light source. Further, it is submitted that a reference is considered not only for what it expressly states, but for what it would reasonbly have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re DeLisle, 160 USPQ (CCPA 1969). Further, it is submitted that Umeji teches connecting light receiving devices in series. See the rejection.

In response to the applicants' arguments in the paragraph connecting pages 9 and 10 and the paragraphs on page 10 of the response dated 08/08/05, it is submitted that AAPA teaches driving MEMS device with a voltage. Further, it is submitted that the fact that the applicants have a different reason or advantage resulting from doing what the relied prior art suggested doing is not indicative or

Art Unit: 2814

demonstrative of unobviousness. In Re Kronig 190 USPQ 425,428 (CCPA 1976); In Re Lintner 173 USPQ 560 (CCPA 1972). Further, it is submitted that a prior art reference is evaluated by what it suggests to one versed in the art, rather than by its specific disclosure. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969).

In response to the applicants' arguments in the paragraphs pages 11, 12, and 13 of the response dated 08/08/05, it submitted that Hiyoshi patent is being relied on only for the teaching of generating voltage from received light from a light source and Umeji reference is being relied on only for teaching of connecting light receiving devices in series. Further, it is submitted if the combination of AAPA, Hiyoshi, and Umeji would be inoperative, and then the claimed invention would be inoperative since AAPA in combination of Hiyoshi and Umeji teach claimed invention. Further, it is submitted AAPA in combination of Hiyoshi and Umeji teach claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,258

Art Unit: 2814

Page 4 Long Pham
Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814

LP